



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/337,667 06/22/99 SASAKI

Y 054770

EXAMINER

MM91/0823

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W  
WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202

YOCKEY, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2861

DATE MAILED:

08/23/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# **Intervi w Summary**

Application No.

09/337,667

Applicant(s)

SASAKI ET AL.

Examin r

David Yockey

Art Unit

2861

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan Pan (Applicants' Representative). (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) David Yockey (Examiner). (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 August 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: Koguchi et al..


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
DAVID F. YOCKEY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In response to a request for clarification, the Examiner indicated that "5,300,398" on line 3 of page 6 of the Office Action mailed 14 August 2001 which was referred to as "Koguchi et al." was a typographic error and should have been "5,578,824" as listed on the accompanying form PTO-892. Additionally, the Examiner noted that the classification of the Koguchi et al. patent on the form PTO-892 includes a typographic error, where "2501" should be simply "250".